Conway, Arkansas Tuesday 6:30 pm March 10th, 2015

On this date the City Council of the City of Conway, Arkansas met in regular session. The following members being a quorum were present and acting: Alderman Grimes, Alderwoman Mehl, Alderman Pruitt, Alderwoman Smith, Alderman Ledbetter, Alderman Hawkins, Alderman Jones, and Alderwoman Whitmore. Also, present and acting: City Clerk Michael Garrett, and City Attorney Chuck Clawson. Mayor Tab Townsell was not present.

Call to Order: Alderman Hawkins Roll Call: Michael O. Garrett

Minutes Approval: February 24th, 2015

Alderwoman Whitmore motioned to approve the minutes as submitted. Alderwoman Smith seconded the motion. There was no discussion. The motion passed 8-0.

1. Report of Standing Committees:

A. Public Hearings:

- 1. Public Hearing Utility Easement Closing To discuss the closing of a utility easement located between Lots 5 & 6 and Lots 6 & 7 in the Centerstone Subdivision Phase IV
 - a. Ordinance discuss the closing of a utility easement located between Lots 5 & 6 and Lots 6& 7 in the Centerstone Subdivision Phase

O-15-25

Bobby French, 1021 Front St., representing Salter Construction Inc., stated they are replatting the property and there are 3 lots that will have the same easements but one lot line will be to the East and the other to the West. Alderman Hawkins stated we have the letters of approval from Conway Corp, CenterPoint Entergy, and AT&T. Alderwoman Smith motioned to waive the readings of the ordinance. Alderwoman Whitmore seconded the motion. The motion passed 8-0. Alderwoman Smith motioned to adopt the ordinance. Alderwoman Whitmore seconded the motion. There was no discussion. The clerk called roll with the following voting "Aye": Alderman Hawkins, Alderman Grimes, Alderman Pruitt, Alderwoman Mehl, Alderman Ledbetter, Alderwoman Smith, Alderman Jones, and Alderwoman Whitmore. The motion passed 8-0.

- B. Community Development Committee (Planning, Zoning, Permits, Community Development, Historic District, Streets, & Conway Housing Authority)
 - 1. Ordinance authorizing the issuance of sales and use tax capital improvement and refunding bonds for certain street improvement.

Gordon Wilburn, Kutak Rock, stated this ordinance is the approval of the bonds that were approved by the voters last year, the bond sale was today. Mr. Wilburn stated these bonds will refund your existing sales and used tax bonds; it is taking your current bonds and moving them over to this new bond issue. Mr. Wilburn explained there is a refunding piece and also new money for street improvements that were approved at the special election. Mr. Wilburn stated this ordinance approves the trust indenture, terms of the bonds; Regions Bank will be the trustee and receive the money from the state to pay the bond holders, approves the offering document for the bonds, continuing disclosure agreement to bond holders, and the bond purchase agreement to with bonds to Stephens Inc., and Crews and Associates Inc. Jack Trumper, Stephens Inc., stated the bond sale today was from the election last September, one being the refund of the 2012 bonds and the rededication of the two one-eighth cent taxes to generate funds for street projects; we are going to generate approximately \$20,130,000.00 for projects and all of the bonds have been sold, it was a very successful sale, and we are going to close the transaction on April 15, 2015, that is when the construction funds will be delivered to the city, and the 2012 bonds will be redeemed on May 1, 2015. Mr. Trumper stated the interest rate is easily received on these bonds, which is called the all-inclusive cost, which takes in consideration all fees and expenses and a 3.82% based on the 30 year repayment term. Mr. Trumper stated we have projected that if the current one-eighth cent special current tax close at least 1% the bonds will be paid in full in 2038 stating they believe it will grow more than 1%. Mr. Trumper stated in 2012 when they did the sales tax issue council received an AA- rating; we went through a rating process again with the new bonds, and council also received an AAfrom your bonds which is a very good rating in our state, the state general obligation rating is an AA. Alderwoman Smith motioned to waive the readings of the ordinance. Alderwoman Whitmore seconded the motion. The motion passed 8-0. Alderwoman Smith motioned to adopt the ordinance. Alderwoman Whitmore seconded the motion. There was no further The clerk called roll with the following voting "Aye": Alderman Hawkins, discussion. Alderman Grimes, Alderman Pruitt, Alderwoman Mehl, Alderman Ledbetter, Alderwoman Smith, Alderman Jones, and Alderwoman Whitmore. The motion passed 8-0. The clerk called roll with the following voting "Aye" for the emergency clause: Alderman Hawkins, Alderman Grimes, Alderman Pruitt, Alderwoman Mehl, Alderman Ledbetter, Alderwoman Smith, Alderman Jones, and Alderwoman Whitmore. The motion passed 8-0.

2. Ordinance appropriating funds to purchase certain equipment for the Conway Airport.

O-15-27

Joshua Zylks, Airport Manager, stated the budget approval for last year did not contain capital for equipment purchase in the Airport fund; this is an ordinance that will do that, and this year we are looking to use in the neighborhood of \$19,000.00 to buy a mower for our tractor and also a snow plow, for the tractor and a walk behind floor cleaner for the community hangar. Mr. Zylks stated we did the budget that council approved and the allocated fund has a balance of \$31,157.00 and he is asking to move \$19,000.00 of that into the machinery and equipment capital purchase. Alderwoman Smith motioned to waive the readings of the ordinance. Alderwoman Whitmore seconded the motion. The motion passed 8-0. Alderwoman Smith motioned to adopt the ordinance. Alderwoman Whitmore seconded the motion. There was no further discussion. The clerk called roll with the following voting "Aye": Alderman Hawkins, Alderman Grimes, Alderman Pruitt, Alderwoman Whitmore. The motion passed 8-0.

3. Consideration to approve a change order request for the t-hangars located at the Conway Airport.

Joshua Zylks, Airport Manager, stated in the construction process there was a decision to install expansion material between the slab of the T-hangars and the slab of the concrete between those was installed by the contractor. Mr. Zylks stated putting this in will increase the life of the concrete joint between all the buildings; it was something that was included in the project for the new T-hangar and will cost \$6,858.32, the original contract amount was \$1,949,000.70, so this will take it to \$1,955,862.02. Alderwoman Smith motioned to approve the change order. Alderwoman Whitmore seconded the motion. The motion passed 8-0.

4. Consideration to amend the Acxiom airport property lease agreement at the Old Cantrell Field property.

Jack Bell, Chief of Staff, stated this is to update a lease agreement with Acxiom. Mr. Bell explained we have a 50 year lease with Acxiom for use of the Airport property and at the end of 25 years the ratio of the rate in 1989 was 5 cents a square foot, this increases it to 12 cents a square foot. Mr. Bell stated they are leasing 20 acres from us and there is a first option refusal where they can decline the agreement and they declined an additional 10 acres. Alderwoman Mehl asked how long does the lease last. Mr. Bell stated 25 more years. Alderwoman Smith made a motion to amend the lease agreement. Alderwoman Whitmore seconded the motion. Alderwoman Smith asked in which fund does the money go. Alderman Hawkins replied the general fund. There were no further questions. The motion passed 8-0.

5. Ordinance creating and adopting by reference the Markham Street Neighborhood Specific Plan for the City of Conway.

O-15-28

Scott Grummer, CDBG, stated on October 10, 2013 we had 19 representatives from the public/private non-profit groups meeting where it was announced the city was awarded \$200,000.00 from the Jumpstart Grant; this grant will help to develop neighborhood revitalization and an implementation plan to promote livability for the area. Mr. Grummer stated on December 2, 2013 we had approximately 150 participants from Hendrix College, community residents, business and civic leaders etc. who were able to actively participate in various city meetings, which included a walkability tour around Markham Street; this provided the framework for gateway planning to begin the design process. Mr. Grummer stated in 2014 Gateway Planning held several meetings throughout the year where community members could come and provide feedback, as well as allowing Gateway to gain additional information in the design process for the Markham Street area; these meetings were highly attended and were very successful. Mr. Grummer stated last fall Gateway Planning provided an executive summary and appendix of the findings of these meetings and public input, and they also provided the regulating plan. Bryan Patrick, Planning & Development Dept., stated the ordinance is being adopted by reference due to the length of the document, but it is posted on our website. Mr. Patrick stated this basically creates the Markham Street Neighborhood Plan and explained it is removing part of the area from the Northeast Old Conway Plan, which is still in place from the east side of Harkrider over to I-40; this will take a lot of the regulation and code that was already in place for the Northeast Old Conway and further define it into 3 zones; residential mixed use zone, commercial mixed use zone, and a college campus zone, which will allow Hendrix College to expand further south onto property they already own. Mr. Patrick stated this plan will also further define streetscapes and will recommend street

vegetation, and also touches on open space, and particularly shows how we treat the old scrap yard. Mr. Patrick stated it also further defines building forms i.e. parking garage/decks and the use of corners. Mr. Patrick stated the plan discusses land use to a degree and further refines that again as well as what we believed would be the best uses in conjunction with Hendrix College, Hendrix Village, and downtown. Mr. Patrick stated it would also streamline procedures somewhat; now when you build in the Northeast Old Conway area you must have Historic District approval which has worked out well, but this allows the Planning Dept. staff to review the plans and as long as all of the requirements are met staff approval is given without taking it before the Historic District Commission. Mr. Patrick explained there are 2 type of reviews, type 1 is where the plan meet the requirements and the Planning & Development staff can review it and send it on for development review. Mr. Patrick stated if for some reason a variance is requested it becomes a type 2 review, which goes to the Historic District Commission, which is the usual procedure. Mr. Patrick stated since this was a part of the Jumpstart Initiative it does open up the possibility to additional grant money to further study the use of scrap yard area since it will be a park and a water detention area. Mr. Grummer stated it will allow us to apply for infrastructure money for street improvements as well as other improvements along Markham Street. Alderman Jones asked if there was a plan for a parking deck. Mr. Patrick stated no, there is no plan, but if someone wanted to build one this would give guidelines to follow and submit plans to the city. Alderman Grimes asked where we are on the process with the scrap yard. Mr. Grummer stated we have finished the application for the \$200,000.0 Brownfield Grant, which is a cleanup grant and the NEA Grant; we will not know if we were awarded these grants until this spring. Mr. Grummer stated if we are awarded those grants we will start the design process and receive the grant funds this fall; once the design process is complete then we will know how to better refine our cleanup process and we can begin the cleanup process in the spring of 2016 with the anticipation of redeveloping towards the end of 2016. Alderwoman Mehl asked how our complete streets ordinance fit in with this, and stated she did not see bike lanes. Mr. Grummer stated to his understanding the plan incorporates complete street design which accommodates pedestrian ways. Mr. Patrick stated the plan encompasses the multimodal aspect which includes biking, walking, and future transit ability being built into this; we are well aware that bicycles are wanted and stated complete streets are a part of the whole thought process. Alderwoman Mehl asked once the scrap yard site is complete would it become a part of our park system. Mr. Grummer stated we are still working those details. Alderman Pruitt asked about the various grants and if we would be eligible. Mr. Grummer stated it would depend on the purpose and we are looking at home funds through HUD, low income housing tax credits for public/private housing and infrastructure etc. Alderwoman Whitmore motioned to waive the readings of the ordinance. Alderwoman Smith seconded the motion. The motion passed 8-0. Alderwoman Smith motioned to adopt the ordinance. Alderwoman Whitmore seconded the motion. There was no further discussion. The clerk called roll with the following voting "Ave": Alderman Hawkins, Alderman Grimes, Alderman Pruitt, Alderwoman Mehl, Alderman Ledbetter, Alderwoman Smith, Alderman Jones, and Alderwoman Whitmore. The motion passed 8-0.

C. Public Services Committee (Sanitation, Parks & Recreation & Physical Plant)

1. Consideration to enter into a five year agreement with Terracon Consultants for landfill testing at the Sanitation Department.

Danny Alford, Sanitation Dept., stated we are looking to continue our agreement with Terracon Consultants, as they have been the Sanitation Dept.'s consultant for years and have always kept the Sanitation Dept. in compliance with Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)

and Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality's (ADEQ) regulations. Alderman Hawkins asked how long have we used Terracon Consultants. Mr. Alford replied 10 years. Alderwoman Smith motioned to enter into the agreement. Alderwoman Mehl seconded the motion. There was no further discussion. The motion passed 8-0.

2. Ordinance waiving bids for repairs to the landfill compactor at the Sanitation Department.

O-15-29

Danny Alford, Sanitation Dept., stated the landfill compactor is a \$500,000.00 machine that is essential to the life of our landfill as it compacts the trash down and the pump on it is damaged. Mr. Alford stated the Sanitation Dept. has everything in place to make repairs as soon as council approves. Alderman Hawkins asked if the part is in stock. Mr. Alford replied yes. Alderwoman Smith motioned to waive the readings of the ordinance. Alderwoman Mehl seconded the motion. The motion passed 8-0. Alderwoman Whitmore motioned to adopt the ordinance and an emergency clause. Alderwoman Smith seconded the motion. The motion passed 8-0. Alderwoman Smith motioned to adopt the ordinance. Alderwoman Whitmore seconded the motion. There was no further discussion. The clerk called roll with the following voting "Aye": Alderman Hawkins, Alderman Grimes, Alderman Pruitt, Alderwoman Mehl, Alderman Ledbetter, Alderwoman Smith, Alderman Jones, and Alderwoman Whitmore. The motion passed 8-0. The clerk called roll with the following voting "Aye" for the emergency Alderman Hawkins, Alderman Grimes, Alderman Pruitt, Alderwoman Mehl, clause: Alderman Ledbetter, Alderwoman Smith, Alderman Jones, and Alderwoman Whitmore. The motion passed 8-0.

Alderman Grimes exited the meeting.

- D. Public Safety Committee (Police, Fire, District Court, CEOC, Information Technology, City Attorney, & Animal Welfare)
 - 1. Ordinance to purchase additional storage for the Information Technology Department.

O-15-30

Lloyd Hartzell, Director Information Technology, stated the request is for additional hardware for network storage and communication hardware. Mr. Hartzell explained we are at the point of this being a necessity because the city's storage is at 70%, it was almost at 100% but Jeff West, Network Systems Administrator, worked with HP and they deleted many items. Mr. Hartzell explained if the storage fills up then the city computers will shut down as we would have no place for our storage. Mr. Hartzell stated the other item are 2 servers that communicate, and right now they only communicate one way and we need them to communicate both ways. Mr. Hartzell stated if some reason the communication center site should shut down, there is no down time, 911, our Police Dept., and the city continue on the server of the Police Dept. Mr. Hartzell stated the last item are blades which can create several mini virtual servers. Mr. West explained we are actually at 95% capacity and we have approximately 57 servers running on it; 50 are covered by SRN to sync the servers from one to the other, so if it goes down, he has to manually flip a switch to send them all over to the other side and that takes approximately 15-30 minutes down time, which we are trying to have no down time. Mr. West stated we will save money this way because we will not have to have that server anymore and everything is managed under one license. Alderman Pruitt asked if that server will be supporting us any longer. Mr. West replied yes. Mr. West stated we first went with the smaller server to save

space and money. Alderwoman Smith motioned to waive the readings of the ordinance. Alderwoman Whitmore seconded the motion. The motion passed 7-0. Alderwoman Smith motioned to adopt the ordinance. Alderwoman Whitmore seconded the motion. Alderman Hawkins asked how this will be funded. Alderwoman Mehl answered it is coming out of the fund balance. Tyler Winningham, CFO, stated cash flow in the general fund is not sufficient right now and with the approval of this, it will be 4 weeks before receiving the equipment. Mr. Winningham stated it is possible that our operating account will not be able to cover this and if that is the case, since this is critical for the city, there is a possibility that we will need to transfer money from the Sanitation funds to the operating account to cover this purchase as a short term solution. Mr. Winningham stated if we happened to get the 2011 grant closed out in the next 4 weeks then we can use reserve money. There was no further discussion. The clerk called roll with the following voting "Aye": Alderman Hawkins, Alderman Pruitt, Alderwoman Mehl, Alderman Ledbetter, Alderwoman Smith, Alderman Jones, and Alderwoman Whitmore. The motion passed 7-0. Alderwoman Smith motioned for the emergency clause. Alderwoman Whitmore seconded the motion. The clerk called roll with the following voting "Aye" for the emergency clause: Alderman Hawkins, Alderman Pruitt, Alderwoman Mehl, Alderman Ledbetter, Alderwoman Smith, Alderman Jones, and Alderwoman Whitmore. The motion passed 7-0.

E. New Business

1. Ordinance to regulate door to door solicitors and peddlers within the City of Conway.

O-15-31

A.J. Gary, Chief of Police, stated we are bringing this before council now because of the many requests from citizens to regulate the door to door solicitors and Chuck Clawson, City Attorney, also discussed changes from last council meeting. Mr. Clawson stated in Section 2 F, there was a question of why we are going to limit this, as far as the exemption for students and their organizations from surrounding cities to come to the city of Conway, if council would like to change that. Mr. Clawson stated Section 2 F specifically excludes the requirement of permitting for any school and other organizations. Alderwoman Mehl asked if we were to leave that phrase in, would a student have to get a permit if they live outside the city for not being exempt. Mr. Clawson explained the sponsor would be required to get a permit. Mr. Clawson stated Section 3 D requires the sponsor to have an I.D. or badge. Alderwoman Mehl stated the concern was that if a child had their name on the badge that could cause some issues. Mr. Clawson stated this ordinance also requires children to be in compliance with the Child Labor Laws and provision of the Arkansas Code, so there will not be small children. Mr. Clawson stated if the solicitor follows the requirements of the ordinance to be permitted to sell door to door in Conway, the solicitor would need to go to the Police Dept. and fill out the application, have a background check, and provide the required information for the identification badge. Mr. Clawson stated this is in no way going to be a revenue generator for the Police Dept. and thought \$10.00 per application would be appropriate; for example if there are 10 solicitors going door to door together, that would total to \$100.00 for the application process. Alderwoman Smith asked if that is something they would get immediately or would they have to come several days prior to that. Mr. Clawson stated 15 days is the processing time which we are going to allow the Police Dept. to get them through. Alderwoman Smith asked is that covering our cost for the city's background checks. Mr. Clawson replied that will be an estimated amount as it may end up costing us more than that. Chief Gary stated he believes there will be a minimal cost to printing the permits; their badges will be a small card with their picture laminated and their information with the permit number. Alderwoman Smith asked

if there will be an expiration date on their badge. Mr. Clawson replied he believes it will be an annual permit and part of the application are the dates in which they will be operating within the city. Alderwoman Smith asked if the badge will have the expiration date. Chief Gary replied yes it will say "permit valid from (this date) to (this date)." Chief Gary stated 7 A 3 was recommended to be divided up. Mr. Clawson stated he recommended 7 A 3 to be divided up because it gives the Chief the ability to deny a permit for various reasons listed in the ordinance; if it has been past 5 years that someone was registered a sex offender, they are still sex offenders, and this may be concerning to council. Mr. Clawson stated solicitors with a criminal background may not be able to be in certain areas based on certain excluded zones; it might be better to divide that section up into non-violent misdemeanors/felonies previous 5 years, and violent felony, such as sexual offenses in a separate section, to allow the Chief to deny them as the background checks would provide that information. Mr. Clawson stated he can draft it. There was some agreement from council. Mr. Clawson stated Section 7 B is approving the application in 15 days which is the time period that was discussed. Mr. Clawson stated in Section 9, a canvasser is also exempted from otherwise requiring a permit or any kind of identification, however they can come to the Police Dept. and request badges with the same fee of \$10.00. Alderman Jones asked how long someone can be off of probation before they can receive a permit. Alderman Jones stated the solicitor should be off of probation for at least 3 years before acquiring their permit again. Alderman Jones stated citizens are particular of who can and cannot approach their door and if we allow solicitors who just got off of probation, citizens will most likely be unhappy with that decision. Alderwoman Smith stated someone could be on probation for not paying child support or something minor. Alderwoman Smith asked if the background check would show whether or not they are on probation. Mr. Clawson replied yes and also who their probation officer is. Alderwoman Smith asked if they are on probation for a minor offense would they still be denied. Mr. Clawson stated it seems that someone who is under the state's supervision would be less likely to reoffend versus someone who just got off probation. Chief Gary stated he thinks it will be good either way the council decides. Chief Gary stated there could be a possibility that someone could be on probation beyond 5 years, but he thinks there will not be many. Mr. Clawson stated if a peddling group gets 10 people to fill out applications and 1 of them gets kicked back, there can be an appeal process if Chief Gary feels that person should not be going door to door. Mr. Clawson explained there is an appeal process provision built into the ordinance which allows the Chief to make the safety decisions for the community. Alderman Pruitt asked if one gets denied does the whole group does not get denied. Mr. Clawson replied that is correct but if they do not fit the criteria that will be one of the reasons of denial and the ordinance is specific about the reasons for denial. Alderwoman Smith asked if the denial has to go through the Chief. Alderman Jones stated if that is the case, then that needs to change. Mr. Clawson stated in Section 12 the Supreme Court of the United States has mandated that if a citizen does not want solicitors they must have a sign stating "No Peddlers," "No Solicitors," "No Trespassing," or words of similar form. Mr. Clawson explained this allows residents to have more of a legal stance because if solicitors still approach with this sign, the solicitor can be prosecuted for both criminally trespassing and violating this ordinance. Mr. Clawson stated citizens can call the City Attorney's office for an affidavit for a warrant if a solicitor does ignore the sign, and they will be prosecuted for this. Mr. Clawson explained to contact the City Attorney's office versus the Police Dept. as they would have to locate that solicitor to inform them they are in violation and this would have to be done all over again to have a criminal charge of violation; the sign will not necessarily keep solicitors off of citizen's property, but it will put the citizen in a better position to do something about it. Mr. Clawson stated the hours of solicitation have not been changed and the solicitors will not be able to contact anyone between the hours of 8 pm to 8 am. Alderwoman Smith stated council discussed changing the times to sunset. Mr. Clawson stated a time would be more specific whereas sunset may be too vague. After some discussion

among council, the time decided to stop all solicitation will be 7 pm. Mr. Clawson stated he may have to remove the section regarding night time search warrants and rules of criminal procedure if the time is changed. Alderman Hawkins asked what night time search warrants pertain to. Mr. Clawson stated it pertains to the execution of a search warrant at night that was in there previously before the justification of the time period. Chief Gary stated the search warrant section is used to clarify that time, if the citizens want a search warrant after 8 pm they have to have specific information in there for the judge to look at and it is more restrictive than a day time warrant. Mr. Clawson stated he would like to point out there are violations and penalties if there is any violation of the ordinance \$250.00 subsequent offence within the first 12 month period and \$500.00 each day of violation. Alderwoman Mehl asked if one of the penalties could be the permit being revoked. Mr. Clawson replied one of the abilities of the Chief to deny the permit if they have been previously revoked or denied. Alderwoman Mehl asked if the permit can be revoked if they violate it the first time. Alderwoman Mehl stated she believes that should be up to the discretion of the Chief. Mr. Clawson stated Section 16 appeals any person who aggrieved by the action or decision of the Chief to deny, suspend or revoke a permit applied for under the provisions of this Ordinance. Alderwoman Mehl asked if that is the only section that discusses revoking a permit. Mr. Clawson stated Section 14 A and B discuss it. Mr. Clawson stated specifically it mentions creating a public nuisance and the other item is the applications and the permits; one permit can be pulled but it will not be for the whole company. Alderman Pruitt asked what if the solicitors violate it twice in a day. Mr. Clawson stated he believes that any one person could violate it many times in one day and each violation would be a \$250.00 fine. Alderwoman Whitmore asked can we change the jurisdiction regarding a student who borders right on the city limits. Mr. Clawson replied in this section of the ordinance, their sponsors would need to fill out and application and receive a permit, but this can be taken out. Alderwoman Whitmore asked there are some students who live right on the border line, so would corporate limits mean the city only. Mr. Clawson replied that would be a matter of the school according to the definition provided there. Alderwoman Smith stated it is like Vilonia where one side of the street is Vilonia school district and the other side is Conway school district. Alderman Pruitt stated there are also 2 private schools here in Conway. Mr. Clawson stated those schools would be under the exemption. James Quinn, 33 Rolling Hills Dr., stated protective speech such as political and religious speech are covered under canvasser and the exemption for canvasser is under the section that speaks on people 18 years of age or older. Mr. Quinn asked if this does not cover people under the age of 18. Alderman Pruitt stated he will make a note of that until we read the ordinance through. Mr. Quinn stated the other item is Section 2 F when speaking on corporate limits of Conway, he suggested to limit it to Faulkner County, he gave the example of Girl Scouts. Alderman Jones stated Mr. Clawson already stated that would cover Girl Scouts. Mr. Quinn asked if it is restricted for students who for example live in Mayflower and are wanting to sell items within the city limits of Conway. Mr. Quinn stated there is not an exemption for churches in this section and would like that added. Alderwoman Mehl stated it says "not limited to those groups", but council can still add church organizations. After some discussion among council they decided to add churches to the exemption list. Alderman Hawkins stated we have a few revisions that we will incorporate into the final draft. Alderman Hawkins stated we can go back and revise this ordinance if parts are not working out well. Chief Gary suggested to not do the emergency clause and to give time to get permits to the solicitors. Mr. Clawson asked to give more time for the permit process if council would want to change the date. Alderman Hawkins suggested April 15, 2015. Chief Gary and Mr. Clawson replied April 15, 2015 would be enough time. Alderman Hawkins stated to change the effective date to April 15, 2015. Chief Gary stated under Section 2 it states it is unlawful for any person under 18 to engage in peddling or solicitation activities. Alderman Hawkins motioned to waive the readings of the ordinance. Alderman Pruitt seconded the motion. The motion passed 7-0.

Alderwoman Whitmore motioned to adopt the ordinance with the amendments. Alderwoman Smith seconded the motion. There was no further discussion. The clerk called roll with the following voting "Aye": Alderman Hawkins, Alderman Pruitt, Alderwoman Mehl, Alderman Ledbetter, Alderwoman Smith, Alderman Jones, and Alderwoman Whitmore. The motion passed 7-0.

Adjournment

PASSED this 10th day of March 2015

APPROVED:

Mayor Tab Townsell

City Clerk Michael O. Garrett