Call to Order
Roll Call
Minutes:  November 9th, 2010
Announcements / Proclamations / Recognition:  Employee Service Awards

1.  Report of Standing Committees:

   A.  Community Development Committee (Planning, Zoning, Permits, Community Development, Historic District, Streets, & Conway Housing Authority)
      2.  Consideration of the nomination of Jason Hansen to the Oak Grove Cemetery Board.
      3.  Resolution requesting the Faulkner County Tax Collector to place certified liens on property located at 1515 Freyaldenhoven Lane as a result of incurred expenses by the City.
      4.  Resolution ordering the demolition and/or repair of structure located at 1463 Scott Street.
      5.  Ordinance to rezone property located at 855 Salem Road & 2945 Prince Street (Kroger Rezoning) from O-3 and C-2 to PUD (Planned United Development).

   B.  Public Safety Committee (Police, CEOC, Information Technology, Fire, District Court, City Attorney & Animal Welfare)
      1.  Consideration of bids for the carpet removal & replacement at the Central Fire Station.
      2.  Ordinance appropriating insurance funds to the Conway Animal Shelter.
      3.  Ordinance appropriating & accepting grant funds and insurance funds from various entities for the Conway Police Department.

   C.  Old Business

   D.  New Business

Adjournment
MEMORANDUM

TO: Mayor Tab Townsell

FROM: Ronnie Hall, P.E.
City Engineer

DATE: November 19, 2010

REFERENCE: Prince Street Improvements
Western Ave. to Shady Lane

I have attached for your review and comments the concept plans for the improvement of Prince Street from the Prince-Western Roundabout thru the Prince-Salem Intersection to Shady Lane. As presented the street incorporates the following design elements:

- Four Lane median divided roadway.
- Outside land widened by three feet to more safely accommodate bicyclist in a “Share the Road” type arrangement. An 11 foot inside traffic lane is provided and a 14 foot outside traffic lane is provided.
- A 16 foot wide median with left turn bays provided for traffic turning off Prince. Left turns onto Prince are prohibited except at Roundabouts and traffic signal.
- Numerous widened intersections to accommodate “U-turns” are provided.
- Roundabouts are proposed at Farris Road and Salem Road to manage traffic thru the intersections.
- A traffic signal is proposed at Morningside.
- Sidewalks provided on each side at 5’ off curb.
- Pedestrian/ Bicycle Underpass provided at Tucker Creek Trail to allow pedestrian and bicyclist to pass under Prince street and avoid conflict with Prince Street traffic.
- Farris Road extended northward to provide an additional outlet for High School traffic thru a controlled intersection.

The existing traffic volume on this section of Prince Street is 13,000 to 16,000 vehicles per day. The delays associated with traveling through this section of roadway are greater than the traveling public will tolerate. A large number of folks cut thru the adjacent subdivision or find alternative routes to get from west to east in this section of Conway. The proposed improvements would more than double the capacity of this roadway.

The estimated construction cost of this project is $3,208,000 and a budget of $445,000 is recommended for right of way acquisition. A cost estimate is attached.

If our goal is to complete this section of roadway before completion of the new High School (and added traffic associated with adding the 10th grade to this campus) we should take bids on the project in April 2011. This would allow the contractor to commence work at the end of classes in June.

A public input session was held October 21, 2010 at the Conway High School Cafeteria. I have attached the comments received at that meeting. The comments primarily expressed opposition to the median which reduces the potential for left turns off of and onto Prince Street.
## CITY OF CONWAY
### PRINCE STREET WIDENING - WESTERN STREET TO SALEM ROAD
#### CONWAY, ARKANSAS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>QUANTITY</th>
<th>UNITS</th>
<th>PRICE</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SITE PREPARATION</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>L.S.</td>
<td>$400,000.00</td>
<td>$400,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>UNCLASSIFIED EXCAVATION</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>C.Y.</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>$150,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>EMBANKMENT</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>C.Y.</td>
<td>$6.00</td>
<td>$12,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>UNDERCUT &amp; SELECT BACKFILL</td>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>C.Y.</td>
<td>$18.00</td>
<td>$144,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>CRUSHED STONE BASE</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>TON</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>$300,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>ASPHALT</td>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>TON</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
<td>$450,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>REINFORCED CONCRETE FOR WINGWALLS</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>C.Y.</td>
<td>$500.00</td>
<td>$12,500.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>REINFORCED CONCRETE FOR UNDERPASS</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>C.Y.</td>
<td>$600.00</td>
<td>$201,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>SIGNAGE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>L.S.</td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
<td>$3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>18&quot; RCP STORM DRAIN</td>
<td>1,700</td>
<td>L.F.</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
<td>$51,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>30&quot; RCP STORM DRAIN</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>L.F.</td>
<td>$60.00</td>
<td>$9,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>STANDARD CURB INLET</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>EACH</td>
<td>$2,200.00</td>
<td>$154,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>CURB INLET TOP</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>EACH</td>
<td>$1,100.00</td>
<td>$77,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>CONCRETE CURB &amp; GUTTER</td>
<td>24,262</td>
<td>L.F.</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>$242,620.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>CONCRETE SIDEWALK</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>L.F.</td>
<td>$17.00</td>
<td>$170,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>ACCESS RAMPS</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>S.F.</td>
<td>$15.00</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>MODULAR BLOCK WALL</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>S.F.</td>
<td>$40.00</td>
<td>$20,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>CONCRETE DRIVEWAY</td>
<td>2,750</td>
<td>S.Y.</td>
<td>$40.00</td>
<td>$110,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>CONCRETE APRONS</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>S.Y.</td>
<td>$69.00</td>
<td>$51,750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>CONCRETE ISLANDS</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>S.Y.</td>
<td>$72.00</td>
<td>$54,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>BALED STRAW</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>BALES</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>SILT FENCE</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>L.F.</td>
<td>$4.00</td>
<td>$28,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>SEEDING &amp; MULCHING</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>ACRE</td>
<td>$2,000.00</td>
<td>$10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>MAINTENANCE OF TRAFFIC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>L.S.</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
<td>$75,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>TRAFFIC SIGNAL MODIFICATION</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>L.S.</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
<td>$100,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>CONSTRUCTION LAYOUT</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>L.S.</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
<td>$15,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>TRENCH &amp; EXCAVATION SAFETY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>L.S.</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
<td>$1,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>UTILITY RELOCATION</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>L.S.</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>10% CONTINGENCY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>L.S.</td>
<td>$291,687.00</td>
<td>$291,687.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL CONSTRUCTION COST**

$3,208,557.00

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>QUANTITY</th>
<th>UNITS</th>
<th>PRICE</th>
<th>AMOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>1st PRES. CHURCH R.O.W. FOR FARRIS RD</td>
<td>35,000</td>
<td>S.F.</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td>$105,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>2nd PRES. CHURCH R.O.W. FOR PRINCE ST</td>
<td>18,000</td>
<td>S.F.</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td>$54,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>BAKER PROPERTY R.O.W. FOR FARRIS RD</td>
<td>35,000</td>
<td>S.F.</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>BAKER PROPERTY R.O.W. FOR PRINCE ST</td>
<td>12,000</td>
<td>S.F.</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td>$36,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>HIGH SCHOOL R.O.W. ACQUISITION</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>S.F.</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>OTHER R.O.W. ACQUISITION</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>S.F.</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
<td>$250,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TOTAL R.O.W. COST**

$445,000.00

**TOTAL ESTIMATED PROJECT COST**

$3,653,557.00
MEMORANDUM

TO: MAYOR TAB TOWNSELL

FROM: B. FINLEY VINSON III, P.E.
       TRAFFIC ENGINEER

DATE: October 28, 2010

REFERENCE: Prince Street Public Meeting

Included below is an updated list of the written comments received during and after the public meeting for the above referenced project on October 21, 2010.

Anonymous:
   The “EXIT” from the Salem Place Plaza could interfere with the movement of traffic through the circle.

Anonymous:
   Why the median? Businesses along this route have proposed these will be future barriers that will impede traffic flow through into & out of their business! Roundabouts work well if traffic is controlled into & out of the circle. The unregulated unattended system of signals now must be dealt with. Why spend money on a project of this nature when so many roads need attention throughout Conway?

Libby Barnett, Conway Gardens & Pools, 2740 Prince Street:
   #1: My business is just entering its 19th year. We held on only with sheer determination to the first widening project. I fully understand the negative impact this project will have on my business—NO MATTER how concerned and conscientious the planners and designer try to be.
   #2: The first widening project created a very difficult drainage situation for our property. Everything changed at exactly that same time. I would request that the public be informed about the design for water movement and that we be able to view the drainage plans.
   #3: I will be losing my driveway entrance almost all of my front property and also our ability to move large delivery trucks in and out of my property. I will need a second driveway for trucks at the back of the property if I am ever again to get deliveries. I have discussed this with
Mr. Vinson.

Bliss Hicky, (501) 450-4697:
(Regions Bank): We obviously are concerned about the impact on the Regions Branch at Prince & Salem. The planned improvements obviously impact access to the bank as well as parking. We, of course, want to be good corporate citizens, but we must try to protect our business interests of this very busy branch. Let me say that we do have corporate Real Estate specialists within the Regions organization that have been advised of the planned improvements and final discussions of changes to the Regions Branch will ultimately rest with them. I know the sooner we get these people in touch with the City the better, so I am going to go ahead and pass along Ronnie Hall’s phone number to these specialists at Regions. Please feel free to call me at any time if necessary.

CONWAYSONIC@conwaycorp.net:
Need a blow up of Sonic on Prince. Include over to Little Caesar’s. THANKS!

ceidson@conwaycorp.net:
Do away with medians. Put turning lanes instead. Prince street cannot be compared to Dave Ward (apples to oranges). Prince has many more small businesses than Dave Ward. These businesses will be put out of business. How will this help the economy of Conway?

v.dains@conwaycorp.net:
No Medians! Turn lanes needed. You will destroy many small businesses by taking away the entrances to their business. These people have worked hard to keep their business up and running.

Betty Beasley, rbbeasley@conwaycorp.net, (501) 327-3545:
No Median Lane PLEASE!!! This narrows road and will put some Businesses out of business-Due to too troublesome to get to the store, church, Dr., etc. How do you think narrowing 4 lanes down to 2 will not slow down the traffic flow? This is not needed in this economy. We need to widen, but not roundabouts, or medians. The Farris roundabout not needed—Just re-time the light. The one road going just into the school parking lot is not needed. Just a waste of Money. PLEASE BE FINANCIALY RESPONSIBLE!!!

Mary Anne Ricks, 3335 Bluebonnet, ricksconway@yahoo.com:
Save Mega bucks and simplify the plan. What is wrong with double lanes on each side with one turning lane in the center? Traffic will move faster with more lanes. Eliminate the side-walks on one side of the street. Check Iowa City’s, Iowa Carolville strip which is full of business and it accommodates much more traffic. Too many roundabouts will make you dizzy.

Reda Salter, rssalter@conwaycorp.net, (501) 327-6681: Make Wingate Shopping Center move sign at 2745 Prince to Wingate Street before someone gets run over in the Coldwell Banker Salter Earl Estate, Inc. parking lot. People turn in my drive, go to back of parking lot which is fenced in, make U-Turn & fly back out my driveway, because they are angry that the sign is not on the Wingate street. Someone is going to get hurt-- & it could be prevented.

Verbal Comment made by Reda Salter to Ronnie Hall: The MEMS ambulance will be unable to make a left turn exit from Wingate onto Prince Street. Instead, they will be forced to turn right onto Prince Street and make a U-turn at Holiday Drive.

Anonymous: Median is bad idea. 4 lanes with turning lanes. If you are thinking green, people are going to waste a lot of gas going up & down & around to get anywhere.

Rod Beasley, 15 Red Oak Drive, rbbeasley@conwaycorp.net: Eliminate the median in favor of turn lanes. When you neck down to 2 lanes from 4, won’t the traffic back-up through the roundabout?

jsotallaro@drili.org: Where are traffic studies? Why are bicycle lanes “shared” with autos? (Separate bike lane). Have studies determined how many “turn cuts” are needed? What will be done to keep businesses on this street whole? (Check Dave Ward drive - lost traffic in morning & evening) Is the center island needed? Why?

mfritz@littlecaesarsofar.com: Would like email copy of Little Caesars Pizza from Morningside to sunflower.

Anonymous: Need to leave entrance to business open to Prince street
and not move their drives to side streets.

Paul Kostecky, Liverty Opportunities, Inc., 501-328-3712, paul@libertyoi.com:

David – Thank you very much for taking a few moments in answering some of my questions regarding the new Prince Street project. I am definitely excited about adding to a double lane each way and incorporating the roundabouts. However, I do have negative feelings and doubts with the benefit of a median opposed to a continuous turn lane. To me, a median is great for when the majority of the traffic is “thru” traffic (i.e. interstate, or void of numerous reasons to make turns), opposed to having many businesses of all types that thrive off of traffic coming from both directions. Has there been studies done with businesses/strip centers along Dave Ward Road that require going past the business, turn around and come back to it, then to exit the opposite direction and make another U-turn back the way they need to go? What about the planned 3000 plus high school students, and the amount of those wanting to go east onPrince Street, but need to make a right turn west and then negotiate over to left lane in a very short distance to make a U-turn back to the east? Fortunately our building is more for a place to do business nationally and the “in and out” issue is not really any harm to us, however, we are not really excited on the proposed amount of front yard, and personal parking we will lose in the new plan. To me, the ideal situation would be that of the likes of going through Greenbrier, and Spring Hill, with access to businesses at will, and add the roundabouts. Or, just like it is now, but add a lane on each side and roundabouts. This would provide convenience, options, less maintenance, keep from chewing up unnecessary property, and I would assume be ultimately cheaper on budget (assumption only).

Even though there is some biased concern about the median, and one of the few turning options is going to take up most of our front yard according to a plan, the other major concern is the utilities. Since we would be losing a majority of our front yard, and if Conwaycorp is going to go the cheap route, and not bury the utility lines, as they are required to do in most every other up to date city, then are we going to be parking under the utilities, or even possibly having them handing over our building? If we are trying to “beautify” Conway, which I am very pleased of the job Conway has done in recent years, then let’s make burying the lines part of it.
In closing, I have confidence the city will make the final decision in the best interest of all the citizens, and business owners, as a whole. I would very much appreciate any of these thoughts to be shared and possibly be part of the final decision making process no matter how minute. Thanks again for allowing me the opportunity to be heard.

Jefferson Whitehead, jeffsw@conwaycorp.net:
I am writing in support of having a median placed in Prince street when it is rebuilt. I believe that the median option would better facilitate an unimpeded flow of traffic, reducing congestion and pollution.

Bertie and David Doyle:
I went to the H.S. cafeteria open house (which took 15 minutes to find having no signs) to see the plans our Conway engineer, Ronnie Hall, said he drew up according to what the city council wanted for improving the traffic flow on Prince st.
1. Our concern is a median (like Dave Ward Dr.) that Hall said would increase the value of the property and look better. Excuse me, how many like the medians on Dave Ward Dr.? How many businesses think medians increased their value, and customers?
2. Our concern are the 2 additional 4 lane round about; one @ Salem Rd, and one @Farris Rd, which according to Hall everyone loves. However, how many of us have experienced a 4 lane vs. a 2 lane round about? We should wait to see how it works @ Hendrix, which the Log Cabin still is hesitant to comment. I am sure we will find an increase in accidents on Harkrider, and slow traffic.
3. I asked councilperson, Sheila Whitmore, how the high school students who walk or ride bikes were supposed to cross the street if we took away the streetlight @ Farris. She said few walk. I responded that in 1992 sidewalks were such a high priority they added them to both sides of Prince St, which was Hall's plan, yet we could not afford 4 lanes.
4. I told Jack Bell and Sheila that we have relied on Hall for Prince St. in '92. He did not visualize the problems of his plan then. Why would we rely on him again to add a Dave Ward plan with medians that we all hate? Several businesses closed during construction, and afterward, because they were not assessable from the opposite side of the highway. I suggested to Jack and Sheila that we invest in an independent engineer to evaluate the issues and give recommendations. Mr. Hall expressed no interest to hear
anything different from his plan, and appeared to be inflexible.

5. The major reason for adding a round about @ Farris rd., according to Hall and Jack (with an extension north, only as far as to the H.S. parking lot), is to allow a more rapid exit @ 3:30 from the campus. However, if there was no median, and especially if they had 4 lanes with a turning lane, they could facilitate exiting much, much faster. (with the same result for entering campus in the a.m.)

6. I mentioned this to Hall, and suggested he consider how fast the traffic would move if they provided a light @ Farris Rd that would allow 3 lanes going east 7:30-8:30 a.m., and 3 lanes going west 3:15 - 4 p.m. I gave Memphis as an example, and he said they (Memphis) would like to get rid of their arrow traffic changes, but I told him I lived there and his opinion is speculation.

7. Hall was concerned about the cost of another street light, which he said could cost the city more than the $150,000 round about. I asked him how he could justify our loss by taking 1/4th of our property, which would depreciate our remaining lot to a worthless value, and impossible to sell. He said he didn't have any information about compensation r/t property involved, and I asked him if the city would purchase our lot for the lower appreciated value of $310,000, and he said he didn't think so. In 1992, we were paid $9.00/foot. Buying this % acre for $1 0.00/foot would seem reasonable for a commercial corner property.

8. The Presbyterian Church board members (3) were there, and were totally against the round about and median. Hall said 3 times: "but I understood the church was in full support of this project". He assumed property had been dedicated to the extension of Farris Rd to the H.S. parking lot, but none of the board members were aware of this.

9. I told Jack and Sheila that I felt devastated that they had gone to such an extent in developing these plans without having contacted us as involved property owners. Sheila asked me again if I had not received any communication, and I verified: "not one single word".

10. Mr. Hall said a streetlight costs more than a round about, but I don't think he has considered the cost of property required to put in a round about or the increased cost for a 4 lane vs. a two lane.
November 16, 2010

Council Members
Conway, AR 72032

Dear Council Members:

The Planning Commission's nominating committee completed its task of interviewing applicants for the two positions that become vacant at each year's end when two of the ten commissioners complete their term of service. This year Richard Kirkman and Todd Smithhart will rotate off the Planning Commission. From a pool of eleven applicants, the Nominating Committee voted to submit the following two candidates to the full Planning Commission for its approval to recommend them to the city council for appointment to the Planning Commission.

1. Anthony (Tony) Davis, 5225 Lantana Drive (Ward 3) — 5-year term
2. Lee Washington, 4430 Tree House Drive (Ward 3) — 5-year term

A representative plans to attend the City Council meeting on Tuesday, November 23, and will be pleased to share details of the selection process that culminated in the recommendation of these two nominees to the Planning Commission at its regular meeting on Monday, November 15, 2010. The representative will endeavor to answer any questions the Council may have about the process. The Planning Commission decision to recommend these two nominees for confirmation to the Council was unanimous.

Submitted by,

Kent Mathis, Vice Chairman
Planning Commission

Attachments:
Nomination Forms
City of Conway
www.cityofconway.org
Board/Commission Nomination Form:

Date: 10-18-2010

Board applying for: (One board per form)

Planning Commission
(If you are applying for more than one board, you will only need to fill out the second page once.)

Person Nominated: Anthony (Tony) Davis
Address: 5225 Lantana Dr. City, State, Zip: Conway, AR 72034
Phone/Home: 501-230-3223 Work: 501-329-5400

Person making nomination: SELF
Address: 
Phone/Home: Work: 

Please send to: Michael O. Garrett
City Clerk/Treasurer
1201 Oak Street
Conway, AR 72032
(501) 450-6100
(501) 450-6145 (f)
Please provide the following information for consideration to a City of Conway Board/Commission.

List community/civic activities. Indicate activities in which you (or your nominee) are or have been involved.

City of North Little Rock Mayor’s Youth Council (Past Member)
Pulaski Area GIS Technical Advisory Committee (Past Member)
Member of Freemasons of Arkansas, Green Grove Lodge 107, Conway, AR

Indicate why you (or your nominee) are interested in serving on this board or commission and what other qualifications apply to this position.

As a small business owner with an office located in downtown Conway, I have a vested interest in the current and future growth of the city of Conway. I am interested on serving on the Planning Commission because I have a unique background- part of which includes past work experience working in Community Planning Department for the city of North Little Rock- which will provide a perspective that may not otherwise be seen during the planning commission process.

What contributions do you hope to make?

I hope that by serving on the Conway Planning Commission that I can draw on my past planning background- working in Geographic Information System (GIS), dealing with Zoning, Land Use, Conditional Use, Subdivisions, Annexations, etc- as well as my daily duties from my current consulting business to provide an objective look at the challenges and decisions that must be made by the commission when making recommendations to the city council; Decisions that will keep Conway a community where people want to raise their families and a community where businesses want to invest capital to create jobs.

Please feel free to attach to this application any additional information.

The City of Conway strives to ensure all City Boards are representative of our diverse community. To assist in these endeavors, please provide the following information on a voluntary basis:

Age: 28    Sex: M    Race: White

Occupation: President, Brown Davis, LLC (GIS and Database Consulting Firm)    Ward: 3

Email Address: t.davis@browndavisinc.com

Signature of Applicant or Nominator Date 10-18-10
CURRICULUM VITAE
of
ANTHONY D. DAVIS

831 Parkway Street, Suite D
Conway, Arkansas 72034
501.329.5400
tdavis@brownandavisinc.com
www.BrownDavisInc.com

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

BROWN DAVIS, LLC

Mr. Davis is the President and co-founder of Brown Davis, Inc., now Brown Davis, LLC, ("Brown Davis"), an Arkansas corporation that provides a wide range of Geographic Information System ("GIS") consulting services to a variety of clients in the central and north-central Arkansas area. As an officer and full-time employee of Brown Davis, Mr. Davis focuses primarily on providing GIS mapping and consulting services for a variety of governmental entities as well as political data consulting and analysis services to political candidates and database creation and maintenance services. Over the years, Brown Davis and Mr. Davis have provided to clients GIS services and related computer programming services that range from developing a custom code enforcement and permits database for Conway Planning; to creating GIS parcel layers for the White County Tax Assessor's office; to maintaining all street centerline addressing files, city limit, emergency service boundaries, GIS layers and other GIS information on behalf of counties, such as Faulkner County and Searcy County, Arkansas.

Brown Davis's client list includes, but is not limited to, the Butler Center for Arkansas Studies; the City of Conway, Arkansas; the Faulkner County Office of Emergency Management/911; the Faulkner County Road Department; Johnson County 911 System; Searcy County 911 System, Madison County 911, Izard County Tax Assessor, White County Tax Assessor and Turner Development, LLC.

CITY OF NORTH LITTLE ROCK

As the City of North Little Rock's GIS Specialist, Mr. Davis was responsible for creating and maintaining the city's official GIS databases. Mr. Davis was charged with digitizing, updating and manipulating the city's current zoning schemes, annexation layers, conditional use zones and special use zones into a manageable format. As the city's GIS Specialist, Mr. Davis was also responsible for creating a city-wide address database with various zoning, wards and other GIS layers incorporated together. Mr. Davis also used his GIS skills to provide city management with tools that allowed the city to target specific areas of the city meeting specific criteria for economic development projects.
ACXIOM CORPORATION

As an employee of Acxiom Corporation, Mr. Davis was responsible for providing 24 hour support for Acxiom’s global servers, including Acxiom’s mainframe, dataprep, Batch CDI and CII Grid Nodes. Mr. Davis also assisted with serving the needs of various clients of Acxiom.

EDUCATION

LYON COLLEGE, BATESVILLE, ARKANSAS

Mr. Davis received his Bachelor of Arts in Computer Science and History, summa cum laude, from Lyon College in 2004 with a 3.95 GPA. While at Lyon College, Mr. Davis was honored as a recipient of the Lester Fellowship, the Long Fellowship, the Roberta Thomas Dorr Brown Endowed Scholarship, and the National Science Foundation CSEMS Scholarship, all of which are offered only to select academically-gifted Lyon students. Mr. Davis was further recognized as a member of the Lyon College Dean’s List during his entire undergraduate career, and was further recognized as a Provost Scholar in 2001 and was listed in Who’s Who Among Students in American Universities and Colleges. While at Lyon, Mr. Davis offered a research presentation on his project titled, “Digitizing the Original Land Surveys of White County, Arkansas” at the Arkansas Undergraduate Research Conference. During his junior year, Mr. Davis was awarded a competitive undergraduate research fellowship from the Deep South Humanities Center at Tulane University. During this fellowship, Mr. Davis developed a project titled, “Mental Maps: Imagined Landscapes in the Deep South Region”. Mr. Davis was actively involved in numerous organizations while at Lyon and was honored to serve as president of Alpha Chi National Honor Society, to serve as secretary of Lyon College Honor Council, to be a member of Phi Alpha Theta National Honor Society, and to be a member of Mortar Board National Scholarship and Leadership Society.
City of Conway
www.cityofconway.org
Board/Commission Nomination Form:

Date: October 1, 2010

Board applying for: (One board per form)
Planning Commission
(If you are applying for more than one board, you will only need to fill out the second page once.)

Person Nominated: Lee Washington
Address: 4430 Tree House Dr. City, State, Zip Conway, AR
Phone/Home: (501) 730-0990 Work: (501) 392-2931

Person making nomination: John Hairston
Address: 2965 Windamere Dr. Unit 7 Conway, AR 72034
Phone/Home: (404) 906-6067 Work: (404) 906-6167

Please send to: Michael O. Garrett
City Clerk/Treasurer
1201 Oak Street
Conway, AR 72032
(501) 450-6100
(501) 450-6143
Please provide the following information for consideration to a City of Conway Board/Commission. List community/civic activities. Indicate activities in which you (or your nominee) are or have been involved.

- Men's Ministry President
- ACTS Church Board Member
- Faulkner County American Red Cross Chair - United Way Campaign - Acxiom Member of Conway Area Chamber of Commerce

Indicate why you (or your nominee) are interested in serving on this board or commission and what other qualifications apply to this position.

As a 20 year resident of the great city of Conway, I care about the economic and social well being of the area. I will bring sound judgement, strong leadership and passion for the position given the opportunity.

What contributions do you hope to make?

My contributions to the planning commission would come in the form of strong leadership, vision and balanced decision making for the city of Conway.

Please feel free to attach to this application any additional information.

The City of Conway strives to ensure all City Boards are representative of our diverse community. To assist in these endeavors; please provide the following information on a voluntary basis:

Age: 37  Sex: M  Race: Black

Occupation: Vice President - Information Systems

Email Address: Lee.Washington@Acxiom.com

Lee C. Washington  10/21/2010

Signature of Applicant or Nominator  Date
Memo:

To: Mayor Tab Townsell  
CC: City Council Members  
          Chris Spatz, Oak Grove Board President  

From: Felicia Rogers  
Date: November 18, 2010  
Re: Oak Grove Cemetery Board Nomination

The Oak Grove Cemetery Board met on November 16, 2010 and nominated Jason Hansen for board membership for a five year term, 2011 - 2015.

He will replace Chris Odom, whose term expires.

Please advise if you have any questions.
City of Conway
www.cityofconway.org
Board/Commission Nomination Form:

Date: OCTOBER 29, 2010

Board applying for: (One board per form)

______________________________
OAK GROVE CEMETERY BOARD

(If you are applying for more than one board, you will only need to fill out the second page once.)

Person Nominated: JASON HANSEN

Address: 12 THOUSAND OAKS CIR. City, State, Zip CONWAY, AR 72032

Phone/Home: 501-764-1216 Work: 501-450-6011

Person making nomination:

Address:

Phone/Home: Work:

Please send to: Michael O. Garrett
City Clerk/Treasurer
1201 Oak Street
Conway, AR 72032
(501) 450-6100
(501) 450-6145 (f)
Please provide the following information for consideration to a City of Conway Board/Commission.
List community/civic activities. Indicate activities in which you (or your nominee) are or have been involved.

Current member of the Boys & Girls Club Board; member of the Faulkner County Leadership Class beginning in January 2011; member of the Conway Noon Lion's Club; former coach with Conway Soccer Club

Indicate why you (or your nominee) are interested in serving on this board or commission and what other qualifications apply to this position.

Oak Grove Cemetery is a treasure for the City of Conway and one that is important to promote, maintain, and grow. I would really like to help in this capacity as I believe Oak Grove is an integral part of the city's fabric. Additionally, one of my hobbies is genealogy; I believe the skills, knowledge, and respect of cemeteries I have gathered can be put to good use for the cemetery.

What contributions do you hope to make?
I'm very computer & technologically savvy which I think could benefit the board and cemetery greatly. My connections in Conway grow every day and I hope to be able to tap into those to help the cemetery. I've also held a management role at Conway Corporation for many years and I'm confident my skills in this area will be of use.

Please feel free to attach to this application any additional information.

The City of Conway strives to ensure all City Boards are representative of our diverse community. To assist in these endeavors; please provide the following information on a voluntary basis:

Age: 35  Sex: M  Race: W
Occupation: MANAGER, BROADBAND, VIDEO, & VOICE SERVICES  Ward 2
Email Address: JASON.HANSEN@CONWAYCORP.COM

Signature of Applicant or Nominator  Date  10/29/2010
A RESOLUTION REQUESTING THE FAULKNER COUNTY TAX COLLECTOR PLACE A CERTIFIED LIEN AGAINST REAL PROPERTY AS A RESULT OF INCURRED EXPENSES BY THE CITY OF CONWAY; AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES.

WHEREAS, in accordance with Ark. Code Ann. § 14-54-901, the City of Conway has corrected conditions existing on 1515 Freyaldenhoven Lane within the City of Conway and is entitled to compensation pursuant to Ark. Code § 14-54-904: and

WHEREAS, State law also provides for a lien against the subject property, with the amount of lien to be determined by the City Council at a hearing held after the notice to the owner thereof by certified mail with said amount $219.23 (plus a ten percent collection penalty, to be thereafter certified to the Faulkner County Tax Collector; and

WHEREAS, a hearing for the purpose of determine such lien has been set for November 23rd, 2010 in order to allow for service of the attached notice of same upon the listed property owners, by certified or publication as is necessary.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the City Council of the City of Conway, Arkansas that:

SECTION 1: That after said public hearing the amount listed above is hereby certified and is to be forwarded to the Faulkner County Tax Collector and Assessor by the City of Conway.

SECTION 2: That this Resolution shall be in full force and effect from and after its passage and approval.

ADOPTED this 23rd day of November, 2010.

Approved:

__________________________
Mayor Tab Townsell

Attest:

__________________________
Michael O. Garrett
City Clerk/Treasurer
MEMO:

To: Mayor Tab Townsell  
CC: City Council Members  

From: Barbara McElroy  
Date: November 9th, 2010  

Re: 1515 Freyaldenhoven Lane

- October 1st, 2010 – Warning Violation written by Grant Tomlin regarding grass.  
- Property Owners is listed as Anthony Stanley.  
- Property is said to be in Bankruptcy/foreclosure.  
- Certified and regular letters were sent to 1515 Freyaldenhoven Lane (address on file) on April 21st, 2010 for first offense.  
- First offense certified and regular letters were sent back unclaimed.  
- A copy of the violation was faxed to Bank of America (Mortgage Company) on October 4th, 2010  
- Certified and regular letter were not mailed as this was the 4th offense.  
- Property cleanup was sent over to Physical Plant for clean up on October 14th, 2010.  
- Final Cleanup finished on October 15th, 2010.  
- Invoice for clean up and copy of final bill was sent to property owner at 1515 Freyaldenhoven Lane Conway, AR 72032 and faxed to Bank of America on 10-18-2010; included amount due, date and time of the City Council meeting.  
- Invoice attach

If you have any questions please advise.
City of Conway
Code Enforcement

1201 Oak Street
Conway, AR 72032
Phone: 501-450-6191
Fax 501-450-6144
barbara.mcelroy@cityofconway.org

TO Bank of America  
Att: Property Maintenance

Description: Mowing/Clean up/Admin Fees associated with the nuisance abatement at 1515 Freyaldenhoven Lane

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CODE ENFORCEMENT OFFICER</th>
<th>JOB</th>
<th>PAYMENT TERMS</th>
<th>DUE DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grant Tomlin</td>
<td>1515 Freyaldenhoven Lane</td>
<td>Due upon receipt</td>
<td>November 18th, 2010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HOURS</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>UNIT PRICE</th>
<th>LINE TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mowing</td>
<td>17.47</td>
<td>34.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mowing</td>
<td>9.73</td>
<td>19.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mowing</td>
<td>12.83</td>
<td>25.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mowing</td>
<td>13.35</td>
<td>26.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Maintenance Fee (Mower)</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td>30.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Certified Letter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Regular Letter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Administrative Fee (Barbara McElroy)</td>
<td>24.15</td>
<td>24.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Administrative fee (Grant Tomlin)</td>
<td>19.21</td>
<td>36.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Administrative Fee (Glenn Berry)</td>
<td>21.72</td>
<td>21.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

|             | SUBTOTAL                           | $219.23    |
|             | SALES TAX                           |            |
|             | TOTAL                               | $219.23    |

Make all checks payable to City of Conway Code Enforcement @ 1201 Oak Street Conway Arkansas 72032

Payments are due 30 days from date of this letter
Conway Code Enforcement
Incident Report

Date of Violation: 10-1-10
Violator Name: Bank of America, Anthony Stanley
Address of Violation: 1515 Freyaldenhoven
Violation Type: Tall grass
Warning #: CE1896

Description of Violation and Actions Taken:
On 10-1-10 I received a complaint regarding tall grass at 1515 Freyaldenhoven. I knew this address due to Code Enforcement having to issue warnings and citations to the owners in the past and the City of Conway having to mow the grass numerous times. I was also aware that the house had been foreclosed on and had been taken over by Bank of America. When I arrived at the residence, I found that it was in violation of the Conway Nuisance Abatement Code section 3.2.4, for tall grass. I issued a written warning to the owner at this time. Bank of America Property Management was contacted and told of the violation. A copy of the warning was also faxed to them. The property was rechecked on 10-12-10 with no progress shown and was scheduled for mowing at this time. The property was mowed on 10-15-10, with pictures taken before and after the mowing was completed. Pictures are on file for review.

Code Enforcement Officer: Grant Tomlin # 407

Officer Signature: 

Date: 10-28-10    Time: 0851
City of Conway, Arkansas
Resolution No. R-10-

A RESOLUTION ORDERING THE DEMOLITION/OR REPAIR OF STRUCTURE LOCATED ON 1463 SCOTT STREET AND DECLARING THE INTENT OF THE CITY TO BRING THE PROPERTY UP TO CITY CODE IF THE OWNER DOES NOT

WHEREAS, there is a structure located at 1463 Scott Street which because of its, unsightly, unsafe and unsanitary condition, has become detrimental to the public health, safety and welfare of the citizens of Conway, Arkansas; and

WHEREAS, Conway’s Municipal Code and Arkansas Code Annotated § 14-56-203 authorizes this City Council to, by Resolution, order the cleanup of said property by the owner within thirty (30) days after proper service.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF CONWAY, ARKANSAS THAT:

SECTION 1: That the structure at 1463 Scott Street in Conway, Arkansas, because of its, unsightly, unsafe and unsanitary condition has become detrimental to the public health, safety and welfare of the citizens of Conway, Arkansas, and it is hereby ordered that the property be brought up city code by the owner therefore.

SECTION 2: That a notice of the time and place of this meeting was mailed to the owner of said property by certified mail, return receipt requested, advising the owner that the City Council would take action on this matter.

SECTION 3: That a copy of this Resolution be forwarded to the owner of said property by certified mail, return receipt requested, directing that said owner has thirty (30) days in which to bring the property up to city code, then the Mayor of the City of Conway, Arkansas is directed to proceed at once to clean up the property and prepare an itemized statement of cost of bringing the property up to code with a request for payment.

SECTION 4: If payment is not made within ten (10) days after receipt of said itemized statement, the Mayor is directed to sell, at public or private sale, any debris or material obtained from the clean up of property and pay to the owner any balance after the City has been reimbursed. If the proceeds from said sale are not sufficient to cover the cost, then the City shall proceed to file a lien on the property in order to recover the money so owed.

PASSED this 23rd day of November, 2010

Approved:

__________________________________________________________________________
Mayor Tab Townsell

Attest:

__________________________________________________________________________
Michael O. Garrett,
City Clerk/Treasurer
MEMO:

To: Mayor Tab Townsell  
CC: City Council Members

From: Barbara McElroy  
Date: November 15th, 2010

Re: 1463 Scott Street

- September 22nd, 2010 – Warning Violation written by Grant Tomlin regarding dilapidated front porch roof.
- Property Owner is listed as J F Prothro.
- Mr. Prothro signed for certified letter on October 4th, 2010 per USPS website.
- Property was rechecked on October 7th and October 28th, 2010 by Grant Tomlin and no progress had been made on the property.
- I have spoken with Mr. Prothro on the phone and he stated that he was looking for contractors that could repair the roof.
- As of November 3rd, 2010 he was waiting on quotes from another contractor.
- Mr. Prothro was informed at that time that it was going before council and if any changes took place to call me and let me know.
- Certified letter and regular letter was sent November 3rd, 2010 to property owner at above mentioned address stating date and time of the City Council meeting.

If you have any questions please advise.
Date of Violation: 9-22-10
Violator Name: J F Prothro
Address of Violation: 1463 Scott
Violation Type: Dilapidated structure, rubbish/trash
Warning #: CE1876

Description of Violation and Actions Taken:
On 9-22-10, I received a complaint regarding a possible dilapidated house located at 1463 Scott Street. Upon arrival at the residence I found that the roof above the front porch was rotted and had fallen in. The front porch was also in disrepair and several other areas of the house had rotten or dilapidated areas. The back yard also had numerous items of rubbish/trash in it. A warning was issued to the property owner for the listed violations and sent to him through both regular and certified mail. A recheck was conducted on 10-7-10 and progress had been made with the back yard being cleaned up. However, no progress had been made to repair or replace the dilapidated structure. A second recheck was conducted on 10-28-10 for the dilapidated structure. Again, there was no progress. At this time, I had Code Enforcement Assistant Barbara McElroy schedule the property for a hearing before the city council. Pictures of the property were taken and are on file for review.

Code Enforcement Officer: Grant Tomlin # 407

Officer Signature: [Signature]

Date: 11-16-10 Time: 0825
1463 Scott Street
1463 Scott Street
City of Conway, Arkansas  
Ordinance No. O-10-______

AN ORDINANCE AMENDING SECTIONS 201.1 AND 201.3 OF THE CONWAY ZONING ORDINANCE TO REZONE PROPERTY LOCATED AT 855 SALEM ROAD AND 2945 PRINCE STREET FROM O-3 AND C-2 TO PUD (PLANNED UNIT DEVELOPMENT):

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF CONWAY, ARKANSAS THAT:

SECTION 1: The Zoning District Boundary Map of the Conway Land Development Code be amended by changing all the C-2 and O-3 symbols and indications as shown on the Zoning District Boundary Map in an area described as follows:

Lot 1, Prince/Salem Station Subdivision, City of Conway, Faulkner County, Arkansas as shown for on the plat of record in Faulkner county Plat Book I, Page 103; and Tract B, Joseph B. Webb Subdivision, City of Conway, Faulkner County, Arkansas, as filed for record in Plat Book K, Page 5.

to those of PUD, and a corresponding use district is hereby established in the area above described and said property is hereby rezoned.

SECTION 2: All ordinances in conflict herewith are repealed to the extent of the conflict.

PASSED this 23rd day of November, 2010.

Approved:

___________________________
Mayor Tab Townsell

Attest:

___________________________
Michael O. Garrett
City Clerk/Treasurer
November 16, 2010

Council Members
Conway, AR 72032

Dear Council Members:

A request for a rezoning from O-3 and C-2 to PUD for property that is located at 2945 Prince Street and 855 Salem Road with the legal description

- **Lot 1**, Prince/Salem Station Subdivision, City of Conway, Faulkner County, Arkansas as shown for on the plat of record in Faulkner county Plat Book I, Page 103; and
- **Tract B**, Joseph B. Webb Subdivision, City of Conway, Faulkner County, Arkansas, as filed for record in Plat Book K, Page 5.

was reviewed by the Planning Commission at their regular meeting on November 16, 2010. The Planning Commission voted 7 – 1 to forward the request to the City Council without recommendation following a 4 – 4 vote on two separate motions. Planning Commissioner Craig Cloud made the initial motion to approve the rezoning request with amended conditions and it was seconded by Chris Steplock. The vote was 4 – 4 with Commissioners Kent Mathis, Richard Kirkman, Jon Arms, and Todd Smithhart voting against approval of the request. Deliberations continued and then another motion was made by Mr. Cloud, seconded by Mr. Steplock, to approve the PUD request as presented to rezone the current O-3 and C-2 to PUD with the following restrictions as listed and amended. Vote was again 4 – 4 without change.

POSSIBLE CONDITIONS FOR CONSIDERATION

**Site and Other Plans**

1. The proposed project shall comply with the submitted site plan, building elevations, and other submitted plans subject to development review requirements.

2. Landscape buffer areas as shown on submitted plans which exceed development review standards shall be required. **Buffer area width shall not be less than 40 feet.**

3. Dense landscaping exceeding development review standards as described in the submitted Development Plan Report, including at a minimum, 161 new evergreen trees, planted at 8-10 foot heights will be applied to residential buffer areas. These trees shall be evergreen varieties that have full massing from the ground up, tolerant of Arkansas’ seasons, and reach a mature height of 20 to 40 feet.

4. Existing significant trees along residential buffer areas will be preserved.

5. Existing vegetation along residential buffer areas will be utilized where appropriate.

6. A 6 foot masonry/brick privacy fence shall be provided and maintained by Kroger along all abutting residential properties.

7. Berms and dense planting as submitted shall be used in the fuel center/residential buffer area.

8. The proposed western curb cut/driveway may not be constructed without the closure of other commercial driveways within 100 feet.

9. Internal curb cuts are encouraged.

10. Public access easements are required along the main entry drives from Prince Street as follows:
    - **East Drive** - From Prince Street south to the rear lot line of First Security Bank
West Drive - From Prince Street south to the south property line of the car wash lot, thence east along the south property line of the car wash lot to Salem Road.

11. New lighting shall be provided for the entire parking lot area. Lighting shall conform to development review regulations; i.e. cut off shielded, height, lumens at property perimeter, etc.

**Kroger Store Specific**

12. Hours of operation - Store may operate 24 hours.

13. Building height shall be no more than 35 feet as allowed in the C-2 Conway zoning regulations.

14. No external sound system.

15. Screening with appropriate approved sound barriers shall be required for all existing and new roof-mounted HVAC equipment.

**Fueling Center Specific**

16. Hours of operation - Fuel Center shall operate 6 am to 11 pm.

17. Lighting - Canopy lighting shall be turned off during hours of non-operation.

18. Canopy height shall be no more than 28 feet including pitched roof.

19. No external sound system.

20. No banner signs or incidental signage allowed.

**Deliveries / Parking Lot Sweeping / Trash Service**

21. Kroger distributed truck deliveries shall be limited to the hours of 6:00 am to 11:00 pm subject to weather delays and/or other circumstances beyond reasonable control. Delivery hours shall not apply to the limited number of vendors that are not under direct Kroger control.

22. Parking lot sweeping shall be performed during the hours of 5:00 am to 11:00 pm.

23. Trash shall be serviced between the hours of 6:00 am to 4:00 pm.

**2945 Prince Street - Webb Mixed Masonry Home**

24. The historic home at 2945 Prince Street shall be relocated and/or reassembled in a historically sensitive manner preserving the unique masonry. If a suitable relocation site cannot be provided by a community group, historically minded citizen, or the city no later than 30 days after publication of the PUD rezoning ordinance, the structure may be demolished.

Following this second vote that failed to achieve a six majority vote, Jon Arms made a motion seconded by Richard Kirkman to send the item forward with no recommendation but including the conditions considered and the concerns raised. The motion to forward passed 7 – 1 with Commissioner Craig Cloud voting against the sending it forward without recommendation. Those opposing the rezoning seemed to feel a store that large just does not fit and would ruin the integrity of the surrounding neighborhood and that the expansion would add to the already congested traffic. Also brought up were issues of light pollution, air pollution, health risks, and rats.

Sincerely,

Kent Mathis, Chair
Planning Commission
KROGER LTD PARTNERSHIP REZONE O-3/C-2 TO PUD

DESCRIPTION
WHISENHUNT, JOE D & MARGARET H
ADDRESS: 2945 PRINCE ST
Parcel #: 710-08332-002

KROGER LTD PARTNERSHIP
ADDRESS: 855 SALEM RD
Parcel #: 710-08328-001

1 in = 250 ft
NOVEMBER 2010

CONTACT INFORMATION
LANDMARK IMS WEBSITE: gis.cityofconway.org (UNDER DEVELOPMENT)
E-MAIL: Jason.Lyon@CityofConway.org

THE MAP WAS PREPARED BY THE CITY OF CONWAY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT. IT IS FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY. THE CITY OF CONWAY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT DOES NOT GUARANTEE THE ACCURACY OF THE DATA, AND THE USER ACKNOWLEDGES THAT THE CITY OF CONWAY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT IS NOT LIABLE IN CONNECTION THEREWITH.

THIS MAP WAS PREPARED BY THE CITY OF CONWAY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT. IT IS FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY. THE CITY OF CONWAY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT DOES NOT GUARANTEE THE ACCURACY OF THE DATA, AND THE USER ACKNOWLEDGES THAT THE CITY OF CONWAY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT IS NOT LIABLE IN CONNECTION THEREWITH.
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Memo

To: City Council Members
   Mayor Tab Townsell
From: Chief Castleberry
Date: 11/16/2010
Re: Conway Fire Department – Carpet Removal & Replacement

On November 15, 2010 at 10:00am at City Hall; bids were received for carpet removal and replacement for the CFD Central Fire Station. The following bids were submitted; they are tabulated as follows:

Morrison Homes & Remodeling Inc. $ 26,071.75
Ridout Lumber $ 32,913.69
Kordsmeier Remodeling $ 31,500.00

The $1,072 price difference between the lowest bid and approved resolution R-10-66 shall come from Conway Fire Department’s building maintenance operating line item (01.115.231).

We recommend the approval of the submitted bid by Morrison Homes & Remodeling Inc. for the purchase of these items/services.

Please advise if you have any questions.
AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING INSURANCE FUNDS FOR THE
ANIMAL WELFARE UNIT; AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES

WHEREAS, the City of Conway has received two checks totaling $3826 in insurance
proceeds to repair a vehicle that was damaged in an accident and;

WHEREAS, the Animal Welfare needs these funds to make necessary repairs to the
damaged vehicle and;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF CONWAY,
ARKANSAS THAT:

SECTION 1. The City of Conway shall accept the insurance proceeds in the amount
of $3026 and appropriate from the Insurance Proceeds Revenue Account (01.512) to the Animal
Welfare’s vehicle maintenance expenditure account (01.126.234) and;

SECTION 2. All ordinances in conflict herewith are repealed to the extent of the
conflict.

PASSED this 23rd day of November, 2010.

Approved:

__________________________________________________________________________
Mayor Tab Townsell

Attest:

__________________________________________________________________________
Michael O. Garrett
City Clerk/Treasurer
AN ORDINANCE APPROPRIATING & ACCEPTING GRANT, REIMBURSEMENT AND INSURANCE FUNDS FROM VARIOUS ENTITIES FOR THE CITY OF CONWAY POLICE DEPARTMENT; AND FOR OTHER PURPOSES

WHEREAS, the City of Conway has received two checks from the Prosecuting Attorney’s office totaling $705 for restitution of buy money to replenish the police department’s expenditure account and;

WHEREAS, the Conway Police Department has received grant funds from the Arkansas State Police Trunking Radio System General Improvement Grant in the amount of $7,535.01 which is to be used to purchase portable radios and headsets for dispatch and;

WHEREAS, the Conway Police Department received funds in the amount of $4,396.09 from the Bullet Proof Vest Partnership to replenish the police department’s expenditure account in order to purchase additional bullet proof vests that will be expiring this year and;

WHEREAS, the Conway Police Department received grant funds from the JAG grant in the amount of $13,834.50 which is to be used to purchase surveillance equipment.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF CONWAY, ARKANSAS THAT:

SECTION 1. The City of Conway shall accept funds in the amount of $705.00 and shall appropriate from revenue account 01.911 to the Conway Police Department’s buy money expenditure account 01.113.297.

SECTION 2. The City of Conway shall accept funds in the amount of $7,535.01 and shall appropriate from revenue account 01.935 to the following police department expenditure accounts:

  01.113.920 - Capital communication equipment  $4889.49
  01.113.331 - Office supplies  $2645.52

SECTION 3. The City of Conway shall accept funds in the amount of $4,396.09 and shall appropriate from revenue account 01.944 to the Police Department’s accountable equipment expenditure account 01.113.354.

SECTION 4. The City of Conway shall accept funds in the amount of $13,834.50 and shall appropriate from revenue account 01.944 to the following police department expenditure accounts:

  01.113.331 – Office Supplies  $796
  01.113.945 – Capital audio visual equipment  $13,038.50

SECTION 4. All ordinances in conflict herewith are repealed to the extent of the conflict.

PASSED this 23rd day of November, 2010.

Approved:

______________________________
Mayor Tab Townsell

Attest:

______________________________
Michael O. Garrett
City Clerk/Treasurer